RHET 100

Research Services & Sources

Rosalinda Linares, Reference & Instruction Librarian rlinares@oberlin.edu, x58105
Fall 2016

RESEARCH SERVICES

OhioLINK

Get books that aren't available at Oberlin for free

Research Appointments

Meet one-on-one with a librarian to discuss sources and strategies

RefME

Mobile app to scan and store citations, store notes and quotes and create bibliographies

Google Scholar http://scholar.google.com

*Set your GS preferences to get Oberlin's "Find Full Text" links

ONLINE SOURCES & DATABASES

Databases:

Academic Search Complete

Multidisciplinary - good for nearly all subjects. Scholarly and trade journals, popular magazines, newspapers, conference proceedings, book reviews, and more.

Lexis Nexis

A wide range of news, political, legal, and business information from thousands of sources, mostly full text. Includes newspapers, magazines, wire services, federal and state court opinions, federal and state statutes, federal regulations, and SEC filings. News information is updated daily and wire services several times daily.

| The | CRAAP Test Worksheet | | |
|---------|---|------------|--|
| to 10 (| e following list to help you evaluate sources. Answer the questions as appropriate, and then rank each of the $I = unreliable$, $I = unre$ | | |
| Curre | ency: the timeliness of the information | •••• | |
| • | When was the information published or posted? | | |
| • | Has the information been revised or updated? | | |
| • | Is the information current or out-of date for your topic? | | |
| • | Are the links functional? | | |
| Relev | rance: the importance of the information for your needs | ••• | |
| • | Does the information relate to your topic or answer your question? | | |
| • | Who is the intended audience? | | |
| • | Is the information at an appropriate level? | | |
| • | Have you looked at a variety of sources before choosing this one? | | |
| • | Would you be comfortable using this source for a research paper? | | |
| Auth | ority: the source of the information | ••• | |
| • | Who is the author/publisher/source/sponsor? | | |
| • | Are the author's credentials or organizational affiliations given? | | |
| • | What are the author's credentials or organizational affiliations given? | | |
| • | What are the author's qualifications to write on the topic? | | |
| • | Is there contact information, such as a publisher or e-mail address? | | |
| • | Does the URL reveal anything about the author or source? | | |
| Accu | racy: the reliability, truthfulness, and correctness of the content | | |
| • | Where does the information come from? | | |
| • | Is the information supported by evidence? | | |
| • | Has the information been reviewed or refereed? | | |
| • | Can you verify any of the information in another source? | | |
| • | Does the language or tone seem biased and free of emotion? | | |
| • | Are there spelling, grammar, or other typographical errors? | | |
| Purpo | ose: the reason the information exists | ••• | |
| • | What is the purpose of the information? | | |
| • | Do the authors/sponsors make their intentions or purpose clear? | | |
| • | Is the information fact? opinion? propaganda? | | |
| • | Does the point of view appear objective and impartial? | | |
| • | Are there political, ideological, cultural, religious, institutional, or personal biases? | | |
| | 45 - 50 Excellent 40 - 44 Good 35 - 39 Average 30 - 34 Borderline Acceptable Below 30 - Unacceptable | l : | |